***Peer Revision Checklist***

Writers Name (author):\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Reviewers Name:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** First, read your partner’s essay. You are responsible for taking a close look at your partner’s writing piece and providing suggestions for how to fix it. Your grade is partially determined by how the author rates the review you provide.

1. Indicate what you LIKE about the draft (positive/encouraging feedback).
2. Is the paper focused around the central topic? Does it lose focus anywhere? If so, indicate where.
3. What part(s) of the text were especially effective at supporting their argument? What information was most interesting and made the greatest impact on their argument?
4. Comment specifically on the introduction: What is effective about it? What suggestions can you make on how to improve the introduction? Do they have an attention grabber? A bridge? A thesis or lead in to the thesis?
5. What do you think is the author’s thesis or main point? How could it be expressed or supported more effectively?
6. In the main body of the paper, are there parts that are confusing? Where would you like more details or examples to help you see what the author means? What parts could use more explanation or definitions?
7. How clear is the writing? If there are places that seem wordy or unclear, how might the author revise to address those problems?
8. How accurate does the supporting information seem? Does the author cite their sources? Do they use adequate resources to support their argument? Are the examples and quotes chosen effective in supporting their main point?
9. Reread the conclusion. How well does it tie everything back together? To what extent does it make you want to learn more about this topic?
10. What are the MAIN WEAKNESSES of this paper? SUGGEST how the writer might improve the text.